Analysis of Indoor Air Quality in a Naturally Ventilated University Building, Determination of an Indoor Air Quality Indicator
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Abstract – The indoor environment is characterized by thermal comfort, indoor air quality, lighting, acoustics and others components. A pilot study was performed in order to figure out a ventilation indicator. The study is focused on the search for the indicator, which can specify a minimum ventilation rate for office buildings and school buildings. How should ventilation system control perform – based on which pollutant? In the indoor air, there are many pollutants which might be subject of investigation. The study continues and develops the results of Clear – up project implemented at the CTU during years 2008 – 2012. The indoor air quality is analysed and evaluated in an office building of a university based on the concentration measurements of chemical pollutants. The office building envelope has been recently retrofitted.

The paper is focused on the question how the IAQ in an office is influenced by a natural ventilation rate and by a new retrofitted facade. The comparison of Czech, German and World Health Organization (WHO) standards is included. The main results are expressed by percentage frequency of the measured concentrations divided by permissible exposure limits (PELs). The aim of the manuscript is to find an indicator for a naturally ventilated office. The measurements were carried out in November and December 2014. The selected pollutants were measured: total volatile organic compounds TVOC, carbon dioxide and formaldehyde. The measurements were carried out in three different standpoints. These standpoints were specially chosen in order to describe IAQ in the whole office and anticipate non-uniformity in pollutants distribution. The SF₆ tracer gas was used to determine air change rate. The measurements were carried out by a photoacoustic infrared detection method. The concentration decay method was used to determine the air change rate via natural ventilation through the building envelope. The air change through building envelope was calculated according to SF₆ concentration and the air changes according to some selected pollutants were calculated. The boundary outdoor conditions were taken from measurements made by the Czech Hydro Meteorological Institute (CHMU) and the National Institute for Public Health (SZU) for the city of Prague location. The air change values were given in a table. The manuscript showed the IAQ indicator. The paper shows the importance of boundary conditions (outdoor pollution conditions and indoor equipment) of the IAQ calculations and measurements. The manuscript is intended to generalize the obtained results. According to the standard [7], the ventilation rate is supposed to be 0.1 l/h. The ventilation rate through the facade and building envelope is 0.108 l/h but it is not sufficient. The sufficient ventilation rates were calculated according to different pollutants to the values 0.123 and 0.129 and 0.120. The ideal value is 0.13 l/h.
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I. INTRODUCTION

According to the last trends of energy savings in building services operation and building envelope insulation, the minimum air change rate is calculated considering heat retention. Ventilation system control is usually based on the CO₂ measured concentration. When there is just natural ventilation in a room, we are not able to control the air change rate. The air change rate is dependent on the occupant behavior. This paper
is focused on experimental description of the investigated pollutants in a naturally ventilated room

II. MEASUREMENT

The measurement was carried out by Innova 1412 device (Fig. 2, 3). This device works on the photoacoustic spectroscopy principle. The following gases were measured: formaldehyde, carbons dioxide and monoxide, TVOC and water vapour. The measurement was carried out in three places in the room at the same time – at the windowsill, on the computer monitor and in the stand with a projection screen. The three places (Fig. 4) were chosen where it was possible to obtain three different results according to the ventilation results. The best results were supposed to be at the windowsill, rather than on the monitor and the worst in the stand of a projection screen. [1], [2]

A. Ventilation of the investigated room

The room was ventilated before the measurement for half an hour. The ventilation was natural by an opened window. On Friday, the door was opened a few times when the occupant came in and out of the investigated room. During the weekend the ventilation was carried out only by infiltration.

The ventilation rate calculation through the renovated wall and through the windows was made in line with the following equation: [3]

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{C(\tau) \, d\tau}{C(0)} \]

where:
- \( C(\infty) \) = measured concentration at \( \tau = \infty \)
- \( C(0) \) = measured concentration at \( \tau = 0 \)
- \( \tau \) = time of measurement

On Monday morning, the office hours started and occupants were present. The air change from Friday 3:41 pm to Monday 9:11 am was calculated from the SF6 tracer gas concentrations measurement (Fig. 1). The air change was 0.108 1/h.

B. The course of the concentration of pollutants during the measurement

The following gases are considered: formaldehyde, TVOC and carbon dioxide. In Fig. 6 there is a course of concentration of formaldehyde. The limit of detection of this device for formaldehyde measurement is 0.04 or 0.1 according to the filter type. During the measurement, the measured values are close to the limit of detection.

In the beginning, the effect of ventilation is visible. The next course of formaldehyde is not dependent on occupant presence. Wood paneling and carpets are the source of formaldehyde inside the building.
C. Measurements in 3 different standpoints

The chart (Fig. 8) illustrates 3 different standpoints where measurements were taken. The dark grey line represents the monitor standpoint where the SF6 gas was released first. The tracer gas then expanded to the whole investigated office. Nowadays the use of SF6 has been prohibited in the EU by the EU regulation No. 517/2014. [4]

III. HYGIENIC EVALUATION OF POLLUTANTS

In the working environment different risk factors may be present, which also include chemical pollutants. In the Czech Republic, the concentration limits of pollutants are mandatory prescribed in the working environment. [5], [6], [7], [8]. Employers have general obligations to minimize the negative effects of these harmful factors, which implies the knowledge of nature and degree of risk, it is also necessary to check whether any deterioration is present. The following equation represents the way, how IAQ can be evaluated [9]:

\[
\frac{C_{HCHO}}{PEL_{HCHO}} + \frac{C_{CO}}{PEL_{CO}} + \frac{C_{TVOC}}{PEL_{TVOC}} \leq 1
\]  

C – measured gas concentration [ppm] 
PEL – permissible exposure limit [ppm]

IV. AIR CHANGE

The air change was calculated according to the selected pollutants. The measured values of the selected pollutants were averaged. The air changes were calculated according to the following equation [9]:

\[
AC_{poll} = \frac{\rho_{\text{int, poll}}}{\rho_{\text{max}} - \rho_{\text{ext, poll}}} \left[ \frac{1}{h} \right]
\]

AC – air change [1/h] 
\(\rho_{\text{int, poll}}\) – indoor measured averaged concentration of pollutant [ppm/h] 
\(\rho_{\text{max}}\) – indoor limit value [ppm] 
\(\rho_{\text{ext, poll}}\) – outdoor measured value [ppm]
The air change needed to get rid of the pollutant is equal the above mentioned equation (3). The air change depends on the indoor measured values, outdoor measured values and the maximum allowed indoor concentration value. The outdoor pollutant boundary conditions were taken from Czech Hydro-meteorological Institute (CHMU) and The National Institute for Public Health (SZU) [10], [11], [12]. The variable concentration values are shown in Fig. 10. The molar mass calculator was used in some calculations. [13]

The conversions of gas units (mg/m³: ppm) were calculated according to the following equation [14]:

\[
\frac{X}{MW} \times 24.45 = Y
\]

\(X\) – gas concentration [mg/m³]
\(MW\) – molecular weight [g/mol]
\(Y\) – gas concentration in [ppm]

In Table II, a summary of the obtained results is given. The highest value was calculated for the TVOC pollution. It is equal to 0.129 [1/h]. The negative value for formaldehyde is caused by the boundary conditions where the outdoor concentration was much higher than the indoor concentration according to the source of the measured outdoor data in Prague. The calculations for carbon dioxide, TVOC and formaldehyde were made. The result for formaldehyde is a negative value because the outdoor concentration taken in the calculation was higher according to CHMU.

V. CONCLUSION

The aim of the experiment was to find out an optimal indicator for room ventilation without occupant presence. It is a complicated problem with many boundary conditions. According to the standard [7], the lowest allowed ventilation rate is supposed to be 0.1l/h without occupant presence.

After some calculations were made, it was shown that this rate does not have to be sufficient. In respect to the changing boundary conditions, it can be really difficult to generalize. Building occupants, designers and facility managers should stay informed about the indoor and outdoor conditions and control the ventilation system accordingly.

The outdoor conditions can really be variable. On the one hand, in large cities during the rush hours the fresh air rate should be lowered when the building is located close to the highways. Then it is supposed not to be ventilated so much with fresh air. On the other hand, when the building location is suitable, it is needed to increase this minimal ventilation rate up to 0.13 l/h. In my opinion the interesting future of the IAQ lies in these measurements and analysis in the newly renovated houses with air-tight facades or in the low-energy or passive house standard.
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TABLE I

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS FOR GERMANY, CZECH REPUBLIC AND GELS BY WHO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>OEL value mg/m³</th>
<th>Averaging time</th>
<th>PELO  mg/m³</th>
<th>PEL  ppm</th>
<th>STEL (NPK) mg/m³</th>
<th>STEL (NPK) ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>121.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVOC (total volatile organic compound)</td>
<td>0.2 – 0.3</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Time – weighted average value mg/m³</th>
<th>Averaging time</th>
<th>PELO mg/m³</th>
<th>PEL ppm</th>
<th>STEL (NPK) mg/m³</th>
<th>STEL (NPK) ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide CO₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide CO₂</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide CO₂</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide CO₂</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>121.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exposure at these concentrations should not last longer than the indicated times and should not be repeated within 8 hours.

TABLE II

AIR CHANGE ACCORDING TO SF₆ CONCENTRATION, AIR CHANGE ACCORDING TO THE SELECTED POLLUTANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected pollutant</th>
<th>Air change according to SF₆ concentration decay (1/h)</th>
<th>Air change according to the selected pollutants (1/h)</th>
<th>Air change sum (1/h)</th>
<th>Air change ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/h</td>
<td>1/h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide CO₂</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVOC</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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